Previous references.

File No. 1 of 1902-03.

1902.

(Banking)
Ins.

Serial Nos. 1-7

Later references.

Banking 462 of 03-04.
B. mar 11 581-4 · B 37-09.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-7

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Memorial of Mr. H. A. Rice, late Chief Reviser, Mysore Govt Press, praying for the refund of the full amount of premia paid by him.

Table of Contents.

Sl.1. No. 2139. dy. 4-5-03. From the Private Secry. to H.H. the Maharaja. Forwarding for report the petition of Mr. Rice praying for the refund of Insurance Amount.

Sl.2. Fl. 2163. dy. 11-6-03. To the Secry., Insur. Committee. Calling for the opinion of the Committee in re. - some Concession being shewn to 'insured' retiring before 55 years for no short comings of their own.

Sl.3. No. 124. dy. 9-7-03. From the Private Secry. to H.H. the Maharaja. Forwarding the petition of Mr. Rice on the subject.

Sl.4. Fl. 336. dy. 3-8-03. To the Insurance Committee. Calling his attention to Fl. 2163, & forwarding a note on the subject with observations.

Sl.5. G. R. S. 5884-5. dy. 7-11-03. From the Secry., Insur. Committee. Submitting the opinion of the Committee on the subject.

Sl.6. Fl. 1520. dy. 8-12-03. To the Secry. Ins. Com. tee. Accepting the amendment of the committee, & calling for a draft of Rule 10. embodying the amendment.

Sl.7. No. 1521. dy. 8-12-03. to Mr. Rice. Informing him of the above order, & asking him to apply to the Insur. Secry. for the refund of premium accordingly.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

Revl 201 of Part I

1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

(Full Office Note.)

Subject.

Letter No 2139 & 4/5/03 from the Private Secretary to H. H. the Maharaja forwarding for report Petition No 1259 & 29/4/03 from Mr H. A. Rice, Late Chief Reviser, Mysore Govt Press, praying for an appointment in the service of the State, or for the grant to him of the unpaid moiety of his Insurance money, namely Rs 400-8-0.

Note.

The Private Secretary states that H. H. the Maharaja would be glad to know what precisely are the Insurance Rules governing such a case as Mr Rice's, and whether any difference is made between an Officer retired on account of reduction of establishment before his time, without a pension, and an Officer retiring at the end of his service with a pension.

Rule 10 of the Insurance Rules which deals with the question, originally stood as follows:—

"When an Insured ceases to be under the service of Govt before completing the age of 55 years, he may elect either to continue to pay the premiums due on his policy till it becomes mature or discontinue payment of the same, in which latter case, the arrears of premiums so withheld shall be treated as a debt against-

This is for the

against his policy and deducted with compound interest at 4 per cent from the bonus payable to him. Provided however that dismissed officials who have been ordered by Govt to be included in the list of officials not eligible for re-entertainment in Govt service shall not be entitled to the benefit of this rule but shall receive only 50 per cent of the total premium paid by them without any interest."

The rule was subsequently modified in Govt Progs. No. 1721 (×) / H 151 dated 22.8.00, × Flag A giving a third alternative to the Insured who ceases to be under the service of the Govt before completing the age of 55 years, viz "to elect to surrender his Policy and Receipt Book receiving 50 per cent of the amount of premium paid by him without any interest." .....

Again in Progs. No. 10233 (×) / P.F. 101-93 dated 26/6/01 × Flag B. the words "within 3 months" were ordered to be inserted between the words "elect" and "either" in line 2 of the Rule.

The 3 months' time being found insufficient for the Insured retiring before 55 years to elect any one of the 3 alternatives given him, the period was raised to 12 months in Govt Progs No. H 1891 (×) dated 11/5/03 × under final Flag

Thus Rule 10 provides ~~with~~ 3 alternatives in the case of an Insured ceasing

ceasing to be under the service of the Government before completing the age of 55 years, and only one alternative in the case of a dismissed official not being eligible for re-entertainment in Govt Service.

In the case of officers retiring after the completion of 55 years of age an endowment bonus (according to the table appended to the Rules) will be paid. (Vide) Rules 7 and 23 (Copy of the Rules put up)

"In the case of policy-holders who retire on pensions before their policies mature, premiums may, with their consent, be deducted from such pensions." (Vide) Rule 24 – (Copy of the Rules put up)

Thus there is no provision in the Rules for granting the whole amount of premium paid by him to an Insured who ceases to be under the service of the Govt before completing the age of 55 years, and his case is treated quite differently from that of an officer retiring after the completion of 55 years of age.

Submitted for orders.

12.5.03
TG

As the rule stands at present

4

the words "ceases to be under the service of Govt before 55" include not only an Officer retired on account of reduction of Establt. but also an Officer retiring at the end of his service.

Submitted to the Secretary

18/5/03

The Petitioner has been paid what is due under the rules; and is not entitled to anything more as he was not a 'deceased' Govt servant. The very nature of the Contract is such that any return of the Premia Paid is in itself a Concession to the insured.

18/5/3

The decision

19/5/03

Copy 10398
21.5.03

Submitted with the papers.

22.V.03

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

624

Subject.

Memorial of Mr H. A. Rice, late Chief Revisor, Mysore Government Press, claiming certain Insurance money—

Order.

Rule IO of the Insurance Rules provides for three alternatives in the case of an "insured" ceasing to be under the service of the Govt. before completing the age of 55 years, but does not provide for the contingency of an "insured" ceasing to be such for no fault of his e.g. by reduction of Establishment &c. Under the circumstances, Mr. Rice's appears to be a hard case. I see, however, that he has been paid the amount due him under the rules. Is it not time now to provide for such cases in the future? Some concession ought, I think, to be shown to "insureds" retired before 55 years for no shortcomings of theirs. I should like to have the early opinion of the Insurance Committee, on the point, before final decision.

623

Correspondence connected with memorial

30.V.03

29/5/03

Mr Chief Draft put up 6/6 Read 6/6

3rd & 5th Holidays

Read 6/6/03

Read back 9/6/03

Subject :- The unpaid moiety of the insurance money of Mr.H.A.Rice late chief Revisor of the Mysore Govt Press.

.....

This is a case in which Mr.H.A.Rice, late Chief Revisor of the Mysore Govt.Press who was retired on the abolition of his office in October 1901, seeks to recover the unpaid portion of his premia which was with-held according to the rules now in force.

On his retirement Mr.Rice was given the choice of either continuing the payment of his premia or taking back only 50% of the amount paid by him till then. He was however forced to accept the latter alternative, as he had no income of any kind to meet this monthly call.

The existing rules deal only with cases in which an "Insured" ceases to be under the service of Govt. either on retirement before attaining 55 years of age, or on dismissal from service. The case of Mr.Rice does not fall under either of the heads above specified, as he was compulsorily retired on the abolition of the appointment which he at the time held. There is no provision made in the Insurance Rules to govern such cases.

The actual amount of premia recovered from his pay, month after month, is Rs.800-1-0, when dealing with the question of a refund of this amount the Govt. treated his case as one of merely "ceasing to be under the service of Govt before completing the age of 55 years" and only returned to him 50 % of

of the total premia recovered from him under the rules. The petitioner therefore has for-feited the other 50 % amounting to Rs 400/- (the amount which he has paid out of his pay every month) and prays that this may be made over to him as he was neither dismissed the service or left it out of his own accord.

The case was submitted to His Highness on 22nd.May 1903,who was pleased to think that some concession ought to be shown to "Insureds retired before 55 years for no short comings of theirs ",and wished to have the opinion of the Insurance Committeec on the point before final decision.

The Secretary to the Insurance Committee has accordingly been addressed on the subject and a reply is awaited.

In the meanwhile another petition on the subject dated 17th June 1903 from Mrs. Rice was addressed to His Highness the Maharaja,for gracious consideration.

It may be observed that the insurance premia paid by an officer in Mysore are in the nature of deferred pay,and that the Rules which provide for an officer who ceases to pay premia before the policy has matured receiving only 50 % of his paid-up premia,contemplates the exercise of choice by the officer concerned. If he leaves the service of his own free will,or is retired on a pension of some sort,it would be reasonable to tell him that in case he did not choose to pay the further premia and wanted his money back,he would have to forfeit 50 % of the total pre-

-mia

premia paid by him. But an officer turned out of service on reduction of establishment, owing to no fault of his own, and who fails to obtain a pension here, or an employment elsewhere, cannot be said to be in a position to exercise this choice. He is forced to stop paying premiums altogether, in which case such a large deduction as 50 % of his paid up monies seems very hard. If Mr. Rice had saved the money, he would have had the whole sum plus ~~in~~ interest on that money at his disposal. It is submitted that if any deduction is to be made at all, it should not be more than what is considered a reasonable charge for managing the affairs of the fund. The Govt has had the use of his money all these years and might well take this convenience into consideration in the Settlement of his claim.

It should also pay for the risk undertaken by the Fund, which would have had to pay the whole sum if he had died in the interval.

The Govt had nothing to do with his money. It was voluntarily held by the Committee of the Govt Prov. & S.B. interest in favour of the Fund by the Committee.

0315
Has a reply been received from the Insurance Committee – If not send a reminder & communicate the above remarks which bear upon the case

20 VII·03

NB
Subsequent memorial of Mrs. Rice is also put up with this.

Early date 21/7/03

Urgent for Mrs. Clarke 21/7/03

Draft sent 21/7/03

9

Please see the marginal remarks
in pencil
I submit a draft (revised)
for approval.

22/7/3

To the Dewan.

11642
22.7.03.

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note

10

Branch Revenue.

Subject

Concession to be shown to "insureds" retired before 55 years for no shortcomings of theirs.

S

In connection with the memorial of Mr. N. A. Rice, late chief Reviser, Ellypore Govt. Press, claiming for the refund in full of his insurance amount, H. H. the Maharaja was pleased to direct that the opinion of the Insurance Committee should be obtained on the above subject, before final decision.

R

The opinion of the Committee was accordingly called for in G. O. No. H 2163 & 11.6.03. Subsequently a reminder was sent on 3.8.03 with further observations of Govt. on the subject, and a second reminder on 16.9.03.

P

The Insurance Secretary now (Letter No. 8458 & 18.11.03) submits a copy of the resolution of the Committee on the subject, passed in their meeting held on 31st Oct. 03.

The resolution is as follows:—

"On a careful consideration of the

question, the Committee are unanimous of opinion that in the matter of payment of Surrender Value under Rule 10, a distinction should be made between officials that cease to be in Govt service on account of their own shortcomings and those that are compelled to do so under circumstances over which they have no control and they accordingly resolve that the Surrender Value in the case of the latter class of officials be fixed at 75 per cent. The Rules may be modified accordingly". The Insurance Secretary observes in addition that the remaining 25 per cent of the premium paid by them is considered sufficient for the risk undertaken by Govt.

The Insurance Secretary's memo containing the individual opinion of the members may, if necessary, be called for.

Submitted for orders.

19/11/03

19.11.03

To Secretary

The resolution was an unanimous one and may be accepted as final I think. The case of the Postal may be disposed of accordingly. Orders solicited.

20/11/3

14189
21.11.03

The Dewan

21/11/03

Let an order be passing the opinion of the Committee and calling for a draft of

Submitted to His Highness.

H

The opinion of the committee
may be adopted.

22.XI.03

Wild?

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

23.11.03
11.78

Read 25 11 03

Subject.

Opinion of the Insurance Committe on the question of payment of surrender value to an insured ceasing to be in Government Service – as called for with reference to the memorial of Mr H.A. Rice.

DATE 27.11.03
NO. 12.89

Order.

Sanctioned

~~KRW~~

26/11/03

Order accordingly issued

27.XI.03

Letter Rice's case be treated under the rule

27/11/3

28/11 2 drafts to sub 1-12-03.

The order No. Fl. 1520. dt. 8-12-03, accepting the opinion of the Committee and calling for a draft of Rule 10. was issued on 8-12-03. An endorsement No. Fl. 1521 dt. 8-12-03, was also given to Mr. Rice on the same date.

Fl. 1530.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. 2139. Serial No. 1. Dated Ooty, 4th May 1903.

Petition No.1259 dated 29th April 1903 from Mr. H. A. Rice, late Chief Reviser, Mysore Government Press, now at Calcutta, is forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore in the General Department, Bangalore, for report.

His Highness the Maharaja would be glad to know what precisely are the Insurance rules governing such a case as Mr Rice's. Is any difference made between an Officer retired on account of reduction of establishment before his time, without a pension, and an officer retiring at the end of his service with a pension?

By Order,

E Macnaghten
I.C.S.

Private Secretary.

M.A.S.

195 Bow Bazar Street
Calcutta 29th April 1903

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
1259
4 May 1903
TO BE LAID BEFORE THE MAHARAJA

To
His Highness
Krishnaraja Wodiyar Bahadur
Maharaja of Mysore.

The humble Memorial of V. A. A.
late Chief Reviser Mysore Government
Central Press Bangalore.

May it please Your Highness

Memorialist humbly craves permission place before Your Highness the following circumstances of his case and to crave redress at Your Highness gracious hands

Memorialists services were requisitioned from Cochin by the Mysore Government in 1895 the vacation of the post of Chief Reviser in the Govt: Central Press and joined the appointment on the 1st of July of the same year.

Memorialist was informed some months after joining this appointment that the insurance of his life under the Mysore Insurance Rules was compulsory and that he should pass through the requisite medical examination before being confirmed in his appointment. Memorialist accordingly passed the examination and his life was insured.

That from the 1st of October 1901 Memorialist was retired from the Service owing to a general

Scheme of Reduction in the Press Establishment vide
certified copy of Govt: Order No 7696-7-17.94, dated
9th November 1901 attached hereto.

That up to date of Memorialist's retirement
the Mysore Durbar Treasury deducted through the Pay Sheets
Rs 800-1-0 towards Memorialist's premia on his Policies

That on Memorialist's retirement he was only
paid Rs 400.8.0 in May last after the lapse of
eight months, and to receive which he had to pay all
his expenses to Bangalore and back to Calcutta where he
had to leave his wife and family meanwhile - Vide
para 2 of the Order of Retirement attached Memorialist
had to accede to the acceptance of a moiety fearing
the loss of the whole of his money by lapse of payment
of premiums, which should certainly have happened
under any present unemployed condition once leaving
the Mysore Service.

Your Highness' Memorialist humbly sub-
mits that it is a time honored custom and established
by numerous precedents in Your Highness' Realm to
provide those of Your Highness' subjects and servants
who have been thrown out of employment either by
reduction or by abolition of an office, with some
other situation in any one of the various other de-
partments of the State, so that they may not be
thrown with their families hopelessly and aimlessly
in the Street and further compulsory insurance was
also a thoughtful provision made by your Highness'
honored father to protect his servants and their fami-
lies from extremely distress and difficulties - Memo-
rialist humbly submits that both these objects have been

defeated justly by compulsorily retiring Memorialist
the advanced age of 46 after nearly seven years' ser
without providing him with any other employment
secondly by under such painful circumstances
holding a moiety of the premia received from
hard-earned salary. especially as Memorialist
represents ~~that~~ under the Mysore Insurance Rules
insurers do not even have the benefit of any com
interest on their deposits. and hence the seventh
half of what was actually deducted from his
is a terrible hardship.

Memorialist further humbly submits that
a procedure may hold good and be justified in
case of a dismissed servant for any gross misco
or if a servant had left the service of his own a
but where as in Memorialist case he has been re-
and no other suitable place found for him, it w
be but an act of justice and mercy to pay him
in full the amount deducted from his salary for
his Insurance Policies.

Memorialist is passed the age in which
can seek and obtain mercantile work where quick
activity and energy and mercantile knowledge are
needful to cope with work and their long and tedious
hours and he is moreover debarred from entering
other Government Service under the Age Rules — so that
virtually your Highness' servant is at the present time
placed in the most lamentable condition of life.

Under the above circumstances Your Highness
Memorialist humbly and earnestly prayers and implores
in utter prostration that Your Highness will be pleased
from the abundance of his heart to confer on Memorial

some place in Your Highness' Administration to keep him and his large family from absolute starvation or that by Your Highness' Prerogative and as a gracious act of Benevolence to mark another blessing after Your Highness' Accession to the Throne, Your Highness will mercifully pass orders to grant Memorialist the unpaid moiety of his Insurance Money, namely Rs 400.8.0. vide letter of the Secretary to the Mysore Insurance Committee No 2136, dated 27th March 1902, attached hereto in original.

For which act of generosity and benevolence Your Highness' Memorialist and his wife and six little children shall always pray for Your Highness' long life, welfare and prosperity —

Herbert Alfred Rice,
Late Chief Reviser Mysore Govt,
Central Press, Bangalore, Memorialist.

Two Documents in Original
for favor of return —

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No. 318 dated 30 September 1901 from the Superintendent, Government Printing in Mysore, proposing the abolition of the appointment of Chief Reviser, Mysore Government Press.

Order thereon No. 7646-7 / 17-94 dated Bangalore 19th November 1901

Government approve of the abolition of the appointment of Chief Reviser, Mysore Government Press, with effect from the 1st October 1901, in connection with the revision of the Press Establishment now being carried out. The present incumbent of the appointment, Mr. H. A. Rice, will be retired on such compensation gratuity as he may be entitled to, three months pay in lieu of notice being disbursed to him under Article 287 of the Mysore Service Regulations. The Superintendent, Government Printing is requested to forward to the Comptroller at an early date, Mr. Rice's papers for gratuity for verification and report to Government.

2. It is reported that Mr. Rice wishes to surrender his Policy of Life Insurance receiving 50 per cent of the amount of premiums paid by him. This is a matter which Mr. Rice has to arrange for in communication with the Secretary to the State Life Insurance Committee.

Sd/ L. Ananta Sama Row
For Secretary

No. 583

Copy

Superintendent,
Govt. Printing in Mysore.

Life Ins 21

OFFICE OF THE

SECRETARY TO THE INSURANCE COMMITTEE.

7

No. No 456 Dec 2 Bangalore Dated 24th March 1902

From C. R. Srinivasa Iyengar B.A. B.L.

The Secretary to the Insurance Committee, Bangalore.

To B. Gopalaiengar Esqr Treasury

The Officer in Charge, Cash Sugar

Bangalore Treasury.

Through the Comptroller to the Govt. of Mysore

Sir, on the surrender of the premium receipt books Nos. 15,61,180 & 2165

I have the honor to authorize you to pay to Mr H. A. Rice, late Chief Reviser Government Press Bangalore

the sum of Rupees (400-8-0) Four hundred and annas eight only

Surrender valued

being the ~~amount of Bonus~~ due on Policy No 156,180 & 2165, dated 3-2-96, 11-11-96 x 24 - 8-41

held by Mr H. A. Rice late Chief Reviser Government Press Bangalore

after obtaining from him a receipt in duplicate on the enclosed form, and charge the amount to the Insurance Fund under surrender value.

The original receipt should be submitted to the Comptroller in support of the charge along with the Monthly Treasury Account, and the duplicate to this office sooh after payment

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) C. R. Srinivasa

Secretary to the Insurance Committee.

P. T. O.

No. 1137

Copy Privy Council
Mr J. A Rice No 3 Western
Street, Calcutta, for
information

Secretary
Secretary
Secretariat Committee

Draft

8

Serial No. 2

Read :-

Memorial dated 29 April 1903, from Mr H. A. Rice, late Chief Reviser, Mysore Govt Press, praying for the grant of the unpaid moiety of his insurance money, namely, Rs 400-8-0.

D.V

Order No 2163

No. 11-6-03

B.

Mr Rice states that he joined the Mysore Service on 1st July 1895 as Chief Reviser in the Govt Central Press, Bangalore; that he was retired from the service from 1st Oct 1901, owing to a general reduction in the Press Establt; that, on retirement, he was paid only Rs 400-8-0 out of Rs 800-1— deducted from his pay towards the premia on his Policies

Nos. 1561, 1807 & 2165 dated 3/2/96, 11/11/96 & 24/8/97; and prays that as he was not dismissed for any gross misconduct, or retired on his own accord, but has been retired by Govt. and no suitable place found for him, he may be paid the full amount of premia deducted from his pay.

2. Rule 10 of the Insurance Rules provides for three alternatives in the case of an insured person ceasing to be under the service of the Govt. before completing the age of 55 years, but does not ~~provide for~~ include the contingency of an insured ceasing to be such, for no fault of his e.g. by reduction of Estab. &c. Under the

Though the petitioner ceasing to be in Govt. service was not due to any fault of his in this case; still the rule applies to him, and he has received what was due to him under it.

Though perhaps, his case is a hard one, Govt regret their inability to interfere on his behalf.

3. It is however open to question whether some concession ought not in future to be shown to such persons, who are compelled to retire from service without their fault. Govt would be glad to be favoured with the Opinion of the Committee on this matter.

circumstances, Mr Rices appears to be a hard case. He has, however, been paid the amount due to him under the rules. Govt think that the time has now arrived to provide for such cases in the future. Some concession ought to be shown to "insureds" retired before 55 years for no shortcoming of theirs. Govt would be glad to have the early opinion of the Insurance committee on the point.

8/6/03

9/6/03

6/6/03

To.

The Secretary, Insurance Committee, (with Mr Rice's memorial in original to be returned when no longer req.)

6/6

Fl. 114.

9

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. 124/1

Rs 886 4/7/10 Submitted with file 13.VII.03

Dated Mysore, 9th July 1903

Serial No. 3

Petition of Mrs Rice, Calcutta, No.65 dated 8th July 03, is forwarded with compliments, to the Dewan of Mysore, in continuation His Highness' orders dated 29th May last on File No.624.

By order,

I.C.S.

Private Secretary.

H.V.

145 New Bridge Street
Belfast, 2nd July 1903.

To
The Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs
His Majesty's Legation
Munich

10

Sir,

I am directed by the Most Honourable the Marquess of Lorne to forward herewith for the information of His Majesty's Government a letter addressed to Her Majesty the Queen by my husband Prince Louis of Battenberg.

As the 25th of April last my husband requested His Majesty's Government through Your Excellency to forward to Her Majesty the Queen a letter which he had written to Her Majesty on the occasion of Her Majesty's birthday.

As the 5th of June passed without my husband receiving a reply to his letter he asked me to write to Your Excellency requesting that you would be so kind as to inquire whether the letter had reached its destination safely.

My husband's position has of course been changed since he wrote his letter to Her Majesty, and perhaps it may have been owing to this that no reply has been received. My husband and myself are leaving Malta for England on the 7th inst. and shall be absent for about six weeks, during which time we shall be staying with my brother Prince Alexander of Hesse at Darmstadt, where a letter will reach us safely.

I and my dear little children pray for our
Dear dead Queen Mary most earnestly and before the God
that you will be a kind Husband Father Friend and
Brother and place her Majesty circumstances before the
Queens Majesty and obtain for us some Temporary Allow-
ance out of the Majesty's great bounty and Benev-
olence, before we are reduced to Extremes by Starvation
in consideration of my helpless children and that we
have been Her Majesty's humble subjects for seven long
years, and my husband has been Her Majesty's Servant
for that period.

Most earnestly praying for your assistance
in obtaining for us Early some Pension and by doing
it will ever pray

Yr Humble Pet,
Yours most obedient Servant,

Mary Ann and
Dear little Children

12

No. 2 Upper Lagan Road,
Entally, 17th June 1903.

To
His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore.

Rec'd 22.6.03.

May it please Your Highness.

In the latter part of April last my husband spoke to Your Highness' Private Secretary, Mr. H. A. Rice, submitted a Memorial to Your Highness, from Your Highness' Private Secretary, assuring Your Highness' gracious consideration of his pitiful case, to which again I added my own little entreaties, asking Your Highness to take early and benevolent action in the matter, to prevent gloom through the greatest privations.

My husband was compulsorily retired from Your Highness' service with a small gratuity which amounted to only 700 Rs. Considering that the moiety of his Insurance Money which was intended for our support was withheld most unreasonably and unjustifiably as my husband was neither dismissed from the Service or left it of his own accord, but was retired on the abolition of his appointment and no other appointment

was found for him as customary under Your Highness' benevolent Government.

I in the name of my seven little children, now crave that Your Highness with the characteristic Benevolence, piety and generosity of the Nyasa Kingdom, to honorably abolish the plague by Your Highness' late beloved Father and Your Highness' loving Mother as regards with order the passing to the money of my husband's insurance Money which has been withheld - as cases utterly misrepresent to Your Highness' Government which is unable for time to be unfortunate - or; which will be far more acceptable and a bone which God will look upon with merciful eyes and shower two blessings on you as my husband's age precludes him from entering Government Service again or getting any active service conferring on him some place whatever may please Your Highness in Your Highness' Government -

Awaiting Your Highness early favorable consideration of my prayer and with one united prayers for Your Highness' health and welfare. I beg to subscribe myself Your Highness' most humble petitioner.

Mary Kick

(4)

Mysore Govt
Genl & Rev: Secretariat

Office Draft. (Docket.) 14

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Branch.

To

The Secretary, Mysore
State Life Insurance
Committee.

Date of passing the draft
Date of despatch

Serial No. 4.

D ✓

Regd. No. H 336 dated 3-8-03
File No. & date (if any) 3

No. of Encls. 1.

Govt Order No. YE 2163 & 11.6.03 calling for the opinion of the Insurance Committee in the matter of some concession, as regards the return of the amount of premia paid, being shown, in future, to persons, who are compelled to retire from service, without their fault

Has the honour to invite very early attention to the above and to request that the hardship of enforcing rule 10 in the

15

case of officers who are prematurely retired, without any pension, not on account of their fault or voluntary election, but on account of public exigencies, may be specially considered. Whether it is necessary or equitable to deduct such a large portion as half of the amounts paid in such a case as an equivalent for the risk undertaken by the Fund in effecting the insurance, also deserves to be examined. A note, containing some of the views entertained on this subject is enclosed.

By Order

24.VII.03

22/7/03 Secretary.

Minute Note Shew when called

(5) R(T) 83.

1903 19/11.

REVENUE

16

From

The Secretary to the
Mysore State Life
Insurance Committee,
Bangalore.

Serial No. 5 To H. V. Nanjundaiah Esq
Insurance

Secy to the Govt of

Dated 17 11 1903

Despatched 18th

Received

General No. 845 Bangalore
No.

Enclosure No.

Enclosing a note of the main contention and on the memorandum referred and submitting the resolution of the Committee fixing 7½ per cent the funds value in the case of Insurance Office, with a view to have an Order & Sanction for no further

Read 19.11.03

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO THE MYSORE STATE LIFE INSURANCE COMMITTEE.

Bangalore Dated 7th November 1903

From H. Eagles Esq.,
The Secretary to the Mysore State Life Insurance Committee, Bangalore.

To H.V. Nanjundayya Esq., M.A.,M.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
The H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

In reply to para 3. of Government Order No: Fl 2163 dated 11th June 1903 and reminder thereon No: Fl 336 dated 3rd August 1903, enclosing a note of the views entertained on the question referred to, I have the honor to submit the resolution of the Committee providing a 75 percent ~~referred~~ refund value in the case of Insured Officialswho cease to be in Govt. Service for no fault of their own, the remaining 25 percent of the premium paid by thembeing considered sufficient for the risk undertaken by Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servent

H Eagles

Secretary Insurance Committee.

passed in

5(a)

Copy of the Resolution No. (11) of the meeting of the Mysore State Life Insurance Committee held on 31st October 1903.

18

Memo No 590 dated 13th June 1903, enclosing Government Order No. Fd 2163 dated 11th June 1903, desiring the opinion of the Committee in the matter of providing a better concession in the payment of Surrender Value to Insured officials who are compelled to retire from Service without their fault with further Memo No. 103 dated 7-8-03, enclosing further observation of Government on the same subject, is brought up for disposal again as ordered in the last meeting.

"On a careful consideration of the question, the Committee are unanimously of opinion that in the matter of payment of Surrender Value under Rule 10, a distinction should be made between Officials that Case to be in Government Service on account of their own shortcomings and those that are compelled to do so under circumstances over which they have no control and they accordingly resolve that the Surrender Value in the case of the latter class of officials be fixed at 75 percent. The Rules may be modified accordingly."

"Copy"

H Eagles

Secretary M

M

2 drafts (6)

19

Mysore Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat,
Revenue Branch.

File No. (if any) and its dato—

Serial No. 6.

for the opinion of the State Life Insurance Committee as agreed

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read again:- Govt. order No. Cellm ~~Hc~~ HC 2163 & 11.6.03 ~~regarding~~ ~~to be favoured with the opinion of the State Insurance Committee~~, with reference to the memorial of Mr H. A. Rice, late Chief Reviser, Mysore Govt. Press, praying for the grant of the unpaid moiety of his Insurance money, ~~as to a better in the matter of~~ providing a ~~Order~~: better concession in the payment of surrender value to Insured officials who are compelled to retire from service before 55 years for no shortcomings of their own

Read:- This Office docket No. 103 & 7.8.03 communicating to the Secretary, Insurance Committee, the further observations of Govt. on the subject.

(2) Letter No. 845 & 7.11.03 from the Secretary, Insurance Committee, submitting the resolution of the Committee on the subject.

P.J.G.

D

H: 1520 Q

8/12/03.

Order:-

The Committee, on a careful consideration of the question, are unanimously of opinion that in the matter of payment of Surrender value under Rule 10, a distinction should be made between officials that cease to be in Govt. Service before 55 years on account of their own shortcomings, and those that are compelled to do so, under circumstances over which they have no control, and they accordingly resolved that the surrender value in the case of the latter class of officials be fixed at 75 per cent; and that the Rule ~~may~~ be modified accordingly. The Secretary, Insurance Committee observes that the remaining 25 per cent of the premium paid by them is considered sufficient for the risk undertaken by ~~Govt~~ the Insurance Fund.

Govt are ~~accordingly~~ pleased to accept the opinion of the Committee, and direct that a draft of the amended rule be submitted for approval, and publication ~~thereafter~~ in the Gazette. format

The case of Mr Rice, the memorialist, will be treated under the ~~rule as now modified~~. Modification now approved.

8/12/03

3/12/03. Secretary

To. The Secretary, Insurance Committee.

2 drafts.

(7) 21.

2 Drafts

D

No. K:152

Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Genl & Rev: Secretariat:

~~MYSORE REVENUE OFFICE,~~

~~PUBLIC DEPARTMENT,~~

Serial No. 7.

The 8th March 1903.

ENDORSEMENT GRANTED TO Mr. N. A. Rice, late Chief Reviser, Mysore Govt Press, now residing at 195, Bow Bazar street, Calcutta.

in reply to his ~~Petition Registered~~ memorial addressed to H. H. the Maharaja dated 29th April 03 praying for the grant of the unpaid moiety of his Insurance money.

Memorialist ~~Petitioner~~ is informed that ~~Govt are pleased to~~ orders have been passed Sanctioning the refund of 75 per. cent of the amount of Insurance premia paid by him, and ~~to direct~~ that he ~~him to~~ may apply to the Secretary, Mysore State Life Insurance Committee, for payment ~~in the matter of~~ the balance due to him ~~accordingly~~

By Order,

3/17/03

3/17/03
Secretary

2c
3/17
Compd 7/12